## Perturbed (accelerated) Proximal-Gradient algorithms

Gersende Fort

CNRS & Institut de Mathématiques de Toulouse France

Works with Eric Moulines (Ecole Polytechnique, France); Yves Atchadé (Univ. Michigan, USA); J.F. Aujol (Univ. Bordeaux, France) and C. Dossal (INSA Toulouse, France)

# Interested in (1/3)

#### $(\mathrm{arg}) \mathrm{min}_{\theta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left( f(\theta) + g(\theta) \right)$

with

- $g:\mathbb{R}^p\to [0,\infty]$  is convex, non smooth, not identically equal to  $+\infty,$  and lsc.
- $\operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma g}(\tau)$  is explicit
- f is smooth (gradient Lipschitz) with an untractable gradient

Algorithm: Perturbed Proximal-Gradient

$$\theta_{k+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma_{k+1}g}\left(\theta_k - \gamma_{k+1}\widehat{\nabla f(\theta_k)}\right)$$

Questions: Conditions on  $\gamma_{k+1}$  and on  $\nabla f(\theta_k) - \nabla f(\theta_k)$  to ensure the same limiting behavior as the Prox-Gdt algorithm ?

# Interested in (2/3)

Furthermore, in the case

a) the gradient is an untractable expectation

$$\nabla f(\theta) = \int_{\mathsf{X}} \underbrace{H(\theta, x)}_{\text{explicit}} \underbrace{\pi_{\theta}(\mathsf{d}x)}_{\text{probability}}$$

- b) Stochastic approximation to avoid curse of dimensionality
- c) i.i.d. Monte Carlo not possible/efficient  $\rightarrow$  Markov Chain MC (MCMC) sampling

Questions: Since MCMC provides a biased approximation

$$\nabla f(\theta_k) \approx \frac{1}{m_{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{k+1}} H(\theta, X_{jk}) \qquad \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{m_{k+1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{k+1}} H(\theta, X_{jk})\right] - \nabla f(\theta_k) \neq 0$$

where  $\{X_{1k}, \cdots, X_{jk}, \cdots\}$  Markov chain with stationary distribution  $\pi_{\theta_k}$ 

- which conditions on  $\gamma_{k+1}$  and on the Monte Carlo batch size  $m_{k+1}$  ?
- is it possible to have a non vanishing bias i.e.  $m_{k+1} = m$  ?

# Interested in (3/3)

Perturbed Prox-Gdt + Acceleration:

$$\tau_k = \theta_k + \frac{t_{k-1} - 1}{t_k} (\theta_k - \theta_{k-1})$$
$$\theta_{k+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma_{k+1}g} \left( \theta_k - \gamma_{k+1} \widehat{\nabla f(\tau_k)} \right)$$

Questions:

• Which sequences  $\gamma_k$ ,  $t_k$ , among those satisfying

$$\gamma_{k+1}t_k(t_k-1) \le \gamma_k t_{k-1}^2$$

- When stochastic approx of the gradient: which Monte Carlo batch size  $m_k$  ?
- Is there a gain to consider  $t_k = O(k^d)$  for some  $0 \le d \le 1$  ?

# Motivations for MCMC approx (1/3)

Computational Statistics, Statistical Learning

- Online learning: here the "Monte Carlo points" are the examples/observations.
- Penalized Maximum Likelihood Estimation in a parametric model

 $\operatorname{argmin}_{\theta}$  $\underbrace{f(\theta)}_{-}$  +  $\underbrace{g(\theta)}_{-}$ negative log-likelihood penalty term

# Motivations for MCMC approx (2/3)

#### Example 1: Latent variable models

 $\bullet$  The log-likelihood  $\ell(\theta)$  of the n observations  $_{\mbox{\tiny dependence upon the obs. is omitted}}$ 

$$\ell(\theta) = \log \int_{\mathsf{X}} \underbrace{p(x, \theta)}_{\text{complete likelihood}} \mu(\mathsf{d}x)$$

Untractable integral

• Its gradient

$$\nabla \ell(\theta) = \int \partial_{\theta} \log p(x,\theta) \quad \underbrace{\frac{p(x,\theta)}{\int p(u,\theta)\mu(\mathsf{d}u)} \mu(\mathsf{d}x)}_{}$$

a posteriori distribution

Untractable integral since the normalizing constant unknown  $\longrightarrow$  MCMC

## Motivations for MCMC approx (3/3)

#### Example 2: Binary graphical model

 $\bullet~N$  i.i.d.  $\{0,1\}^p$  observations from the distribution

$$\pi_{\theta}(y_{1:p}) \propto \frac{1}{Z_{\theta}} \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} y_{i} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \theta_{ij} \mathbb{I}_{y_{i}=y_{j}}\right)$$

 $\bullet~$  The log-likelihood of the obs.  $Y^1, \cdots, Y^N$ 

$$\ell(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \theta_{i} \sum_{n=1}^{N} Y_{i}^{n} + \sum_{1 \le i < j \le p} \theta_{ij} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{Y_{i}^{n} = Y_{j}^{n}} - N \log Z_{\theta}$$

• Its gradient

$$\nabla_{\theta_{i}}\ell(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} Y_{i}^{n} - \sum_{y_{1:p} \in \{0,1\}^{p}} y_{i}\pi_{\theta}(y)$$
$$\nabla_{\theta_{ij}}\ell(\theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \mathbb{I}_{Y_{i}^{n}=Y_{j}^{n}} - \sum_{y_{1:p} \in \{0,1\}^{p}} \mathbb{I}_{y_{i}=y_{j}}\pi_{\theta}(y)$$

## Results on Perturbed Prox-Gdt (1/2)

Set: 
$$\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\Theta}(f+g)$$
  $\eta_{n+1} = \nabla f(\theta_n) - \nabla f(\theta_n)$ 

#### Theorem (Atchadé, F., Moulines (2015))

#### Assume

- g convex, lower semi-continuous; f convex, C<sup>1</sup> and its gradient is Lipschitz with constant L;  $\mathcal{L}$  is non empty.
- $\sum_n \gamma_n = +\infty$  and  $\gamma_n \in (0, 1/L]$ .
- Convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n} \gamma_{n+1}^2 \|\eta_{n+1}\|^2, \qquad \sum_{n} \gamma_{n+1} \eta_{n+1},$$

$$\sum_{n} \gamma_{n+1} \left\langle \mathbf{A}_{n}, \eta_{n+1} \right\rangle$$

where 
$$\mathbf{A}_n = \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma_{n+1},g}(\theta_n - \gamma_{n+1} \nabla f(\theta_n)).$$

Then there exists  $\theta_{\star} \in \mathcal{L}$  such that  $\lim_{n} \theta_{n} = \theta_{\star}$ .

It generalizes and improves on previous results. What can be said in the non-convex case (open question) and with non explicit "Prox" ?

## Results on Perturbed Prox-Gdt (2/2)

Given non-negative weights  $a_1, \cdots, a_n$ ,

set 
$$A_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k$$

#### Theorem (Atchadé, F., Moulines)

For any  $\theta_{\star} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\Theta}(f+g)$ ,

$$(f+g)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{a_{k}}{A_{n}}\theta_{k}\right) - \min(f+g) \leq \frac{a_{0}}{2\gamma_{0}A_{n}} \|\theta_{0} - \theta_{\star}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{2A_{n}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\frac{a_{k}}{\gamma_{k}} - \frac{a_{k-1}}{\gamma_{k-1}}\right) \|\theta_{k-1} - \theta_{\star}\|^{2} + \frac{1}{A_{n}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\gamma_{k}\|\eta_{k}\|^{2} - \frac{1}{A_{n}}\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}\left\langle \mathbf{A}_{k-1} - \theta_{\star}, \eta_{k} \right\rangle$$

In the case of stochastic perturbation  $\eta_k = \widehat{\nabla f(\theta_k)} - \nabla f(\theta_k)$ : it yields bounds with high probability, in expectation, in  $L^q$ ,  $\cdots$ 

# Stochastic Prox-Gdt, with (possibly) biased MC approximation

Under ergodic conditions on the MCMC samplers, we have

$$\left\|F\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\theta_{k}\right) - \min F\right\|_{L^{q}} = O\left(u_{n}\right)$$

with

• Constant MC batch size  $m_n = m$  (i.e. non vanishing approximation  $\rightarrow$  technical proof)

$$u_n = rac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 with  $\gamma_n = rac{\gamma_\star}{n^a}, a \in [1/2, 1]$ 

• Increasing MC batch size

$$u_n = \frac{1}{n}$$
 with  $\gamma_n = \gamma_\star$   $m_n \propto m$ 

Rate with a computational MC cost:  $O(n^2)$ .

### Nesterov-based acceleration of the Stochastic Prox-Gdt alg

Convergence Choose  $\gamma_n, m_n, t_n$  s.t.

$$\begin{split} \gamma_n &\in (0, 1/L], \qquad \gamma_{k+1} t_k (t_k - 1) \le \gamma_k t_{k-1}^2 \\ \lim_n \gamma_n t_n^2 &= +\infty, \qquad \sum_n \gamma_n t_n (1 + \gamma_n t_n) \frac{1}{m_n} < \infty \end{split}$$

Then there exists  $\theta_{\star} \in \operatorname{argmin}_{\Theta} F$  s.t  $\lim_{n} \theta_{n} = \theta_{\star}$ .

Rate on F In addition

$$\mathbb{E}\left[F(\theta_{n+1}) - \min F\right] = O\left(u_n\right)$$

| $\gamma_n$        | $m_n$ | $t_n$ | $u_n$      | NbrMC |
|-------------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|
| $\gamma$          | $n^3$ | n     | $n^{-2}$   | $n^4$ |
| $\gamma/\sqrt{n}$ | $n^2$ | n     | $n^{-3/2}$ | $n^3$ |

In all strategies: for a MC computational cost N, the rate is  $1/\sqrt{N}$ .

## Open questions

- Variance reduction technique Here the variance of the MC approximation is  $O(1/m_n)$ . What happens when a "variance reduction" MC technique is used ?
- **2** Averaging Given non-negative weights  $a_1, \cdots, a_n$ , do  $\gamma_k, t_k, m_k$  exist such that

$$\sup_{n} a_n \left( (f+g)(\theta_n) - \min(f+g) \right) < \infty$$
$$(f+g) \left( \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{a_k}{\sum_{j=1}^n a_j} \theta_k \right) - \min(f+g) = O\left( \frac{1}{\sum_{k=1}^n a_k} \right)$$

- $\textcircled{\ } \textbf{Maximal rate What is the maximal rate after $n$ iterations ? after $N$ Monte Carlo draws ?}$
- (F)ISTA ? What about  $t_n = O(n^d)$  for some 0 < d < 1 ?

A first answer: With variance reduction MC techniques, Nesterov acceleration (d = 1),  $\gamma_k = \gamma$ ,  $m_n = n^3$  and  $a_n = n$ : after N MC draws, the rate is always better than  $1/\sqrt{N}$