Block Online Expectation Maximization for the SLAM

Sylvain Le Corff, Gersende Fort, Eric Moulines

LTCI, CNRS & Telecom ParisTech, France firstname.name@telecom-paristech.fr



INTRODUCTION

The landmark-based Simultaneous Localization And Mapping (SLAM) problem is written as a problem of inference in a Hidden Markov Model (HMM). We consider the case when approximation of the SLAM model by a Linear Gaussian model is not suitable so that Kalman-based solutions (see e.g. [7]) do not apply. We are thus faced with **online** inference in HMM when the (extended) Kalman filter has a very poor behavior.

We propose a solution based on **Expectation Maximization** (EM) type algorithms: we derive the Block Online EM algorithm when the E-step is explicit, and the Particle Block Online EM algorithm otherwise. These algorithms are **streaming** procedures: data are processed only once and need not to be stored.

EXAMPLE: SLAM IN 2-D



The robot evolves in an unknown environment. Observation: at each time step, the robot observes the landmarks in a neighborhood. Mapping: The robot has to find the location of the landmarks. Localization: The pose of the robot is unknown, and measurements depend on its pose.

Consistency of these algorithms is addressed in [4, 5]: the limiting values of the Block Online EM sequences are the stationary points of the limiting normalized log-likelihood of the observations $\lim_{T\to\infty} T^{-1}\log p(y_{1:T};\theta)$ (see [2] for a similar result in the i.i.d.case)

UNTRACTABILITY OF EM FOR ONLINE INFERENCE IN HMM

- Markovian dynamic for the hidden state: $\mathcal{L}(X_t|X_{t-1}) = m_{\theta}(X_{t-1}, X_t)$.
- Observations governed by the hidden state: $\mathcal{L}(Y_t|X_t) = g_{\theta}(X_t, Y_t)$
- Assumption (exponential model):

 $\log(m_{\theta}(x, x') g_{\theta}(x', y)) = \phi(\theta) + \langle S(x, x', y); \psi(\theta) \rangle$

- ► EM algorithm based on streaming data
 - $\mathcal{S}_T^{\text{stEM}}(\theta_{T-1}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{E}_{\theta_{T-1}} \left[S(X_{t-1}, X_t, Y_t) | Y_{1:T} \right]$ • E-step: compute the statistic
 - $\theta_T = \operatorname{arg\,max}_{\theta} \phi(\theta) + \langle \mathcal{S}_T^{\text{stEM}}(\theta_{T-1}); \psi(\theta) \rangle$ • M-step: update the parameter

Unfortunately, (i) each iteration necessitates to process all the (past) data; (ii) for general HMM, the E-step is not explicit.

Classical model for SLAM: HMM with a hidden state collecting both the map and the pose. But, usual methods are unstable due to the static map.

 \hookrightarrow New model: parameterized HMM. The pose of the robot is the *hidden state* with Markovian dynamic, and this state governs the observations. The transition of the hidden state, and/or the conditional distribution of the observations given the hidden state are *parameterized* by a vector collecting the location of the landmarks.

 $\mathcal{S}_{(n)}^{\text{BOEM}}(\theta_{(n)}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{T_{n+1} - T_n} \sum_{t=T_n+1}^{T_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}_{\theta_{(n)}} \left[S(X_{t-1}, X_t, Y_t) | Y_{T_n+1:T_{n+1}} \right].$

BLOCK ONLINE EM ALGORITHMS FOR DATA STREAMS OR LARGE DATA SETS

- Choose increasing times: $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n, \dots$ at which the parameter will be updated
- Block Online EM algorithm

• E-step: Between time $T_n + 1$ and T_{n+1} , compute the statistic

 $\theta_{(n+1)} = \operatorname{arg\,max}_{\theta} \phi(\theta) + \left\langle S_{(n)}^{\text{BOEM}}(\theta_{(n)}); \psi(\theta) \right\rangle$ • M-step: update the parameter

Particle Block Online EM algorithm:

When the conditional expectation is not explicit, replace it by a *Particle approximation* - Sequential Monte Carlo algorithms for **online** computation of this approximation are proposed in [1, 3].

Averaged (Particle)-BOEM algorithms:

The variability of $\{\theta_{(n)}\}_n$ is reduced when $\mathcal{S}_{(n)}^{\text{BOEM}}(\theta_{(n)})$ is replaced with a weighted linear combination of $\{\mathcal{S}_{(j)}^{\text{BOEM}}(\theta_{(j)})\}_{j \leq n}$

REFS.	Particle BOEM for the SLAM	
 [1] O. Cappé. Online EM al- gorithm for Hidden Markov Models. J. Comput. Graph. Statist., 20(3):728–749, 2011. 	► HMM for the SLAM Hidden states:	▶ We compare P-BOEM to Marginal-SLAM of [6]; and to EKF (see e.g. [7]).
[2] O. Cappé and E. Moulines. Online Expectation Maxi- mization algorithm for Latent Data Models J. Roy. Statist. Soc. B, 71(3):593-613, 2009.	$X_{t} = X_{t-1} + \begin{pmatrix} \hat{v}_{t} \ d_{t} \ \cos(X_{t-1,3} + \hat{\psi}_{t}) \\ \hat{v}_{t} \ d_{t} \ \sin(X_{t-1,3} + \hat{\psi}_{t}) \\ \hat{v}_{t} \ d_{t} \ B^{-1} \ \sin(\hat{\psi}_{t}) \end{pmatrix}$	
[3] P. Del Moral, A. Doucet, and S.S. Singh. Forward Smooth- ing using Sequential Monte Carlo, arXiv:1012.5300, 2010	with controls $(\hat{v}_t, \hat{\psi}_t)_t$ i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}_2(0, Q)$; Q is known.	$ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} $ \left(\begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \bigg{)} \bigg{)} \bigg{)} \bigg{)} \bigg{)} \bigg{)} \bigg{)} \bigg{)}
[4] S. Le Corff and G. Fort. On- line Expectation Maximiza-	Observations: At time $t_{(Y_{t,i})}$	[left] True path (bold red) and estimated path by P-BOEM (dashed blue) and MarginalSLAM (dotted black).

tion based algorithms for inference in Hidden Markov Models. Submitted, 2011.

- S. Le Corff and G. Fort. |5|Convergence of a Particlebased Approximation of the Expectation Block Online Maximization Algorithm. Submitted, 2011.
- [6] R. Martinez-Cantin. Active map learning for robots: insights into statistical consistency. PhD Thesis, 2008.
- [7] S. Thrun, W. Burgard and D. Fox. Probabilistic Robotics. MIT Press, 2006.

This work is supported by the French National Research Agency, under the program ANR-07-ROBO-002

At the transformed to the trans $Y_{t,i} = h(X_t, \theta_{.,i}) + \delta_{t,i}$

with $\{\delta_{t,i}\}_{t,i}$ i.i.d. $\mathcal{N}_2(0,R)$. R is known.

Exponential model:

$$h(x,\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{(\tau_1 - x_1)^2 + (\tau_2 - x_2)^2} \\ \arctan \frac{\tau_2 - x_2}{\tau_1 - x_1} - x_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

yields the approximation

 $\log g_{\theta}(x, y_{.,i}) \approx \left[y_{.,i} - \hat{h}(x, \theta_{.,i}) \right]^T R^{-1} \left[y_{.,i} - \hat{h}(x, \theta_{.,i}) \right]$

where $h(x, \cdot)$ is an approximation of $h(x, \cdot)$, by a local 1st order Taylor expansion.

[right] Mean error over 100 indep. run, when estimating each of the 20 landmarks by P-BOEM(left) and Marginal-SLAM (right). After T = 1800 obs.



[left] Particle BOEM. [right] EKF